





4

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/765,518	01/19/2001	Gunther Herdin	TRG-289	9521	
7	590 11/12/2002				
LORUSSO & LOUD			EXAMINER		
440 COMMER BOSTON, MA	CIAL STREET 02109		THOMPSON,	THOMPSON, KENNETH L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3679		
			DATE MAILED: 11/12/2002	DATE MAILED: 11/12/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	pplicant(s)	1
Advisory Action	09/765,518	HERDIN ET AL.	$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}}$
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kenn Thompson	3679	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence addre	:ss
THE REPLY FILED 16 October 2002 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appelexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of th (1) a timely filed amendm	is application. A proper reply the same application is applicated to the application is applicated to the same application applicated to the same application is applicated to the same application application applicated to the same applicated to the same application applicated to the same applicate	to a on in
PERIOD FOR F	REPLY [check either a) o	r b)]	
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing d			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of thi no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expir ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY W. 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). T	re later than SIX MONTHS fron AS FILED WITHIN TWO MON	n the mailing date of the final rejection THS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. S	ı. see MPEP
fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the periodic fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the C timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	d of extension and the correspond of the shortened statutory period Office later than three months a	onding amount of the fee. The approp od for reply originally set in the final O	oriate extension ffice action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellan 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 C	nt's Brief must be filed wit FR 1.191(d)), to avoid di	hin the period set forth in smissal of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require furt	ther consideration and/or	search (see NOTE below);	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	e below);	•	
<ul><li>(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n in better form for appea	l by materially reducing or simp	plifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	eling a corresponding nu	mber of finally rejected claims.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ald be allowable if submitt	ed in a separate, timely filed a	mendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request f application in condition for allowance because:		een considered but does NOT	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed S	SOLELY to issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims	ent(s) a) will not be ent would be rejected is prov	ered or b)⊡ will be entered an rided below or appended.	d an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	s:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a) ☐ approved or b) [	disapproved by the Examine	er.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem			9
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>		LYNNE H. BROWNE SUPERVISORY PATENT EX TECHNOLOGY CENTER	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office



Continuation of 10. Other: Applicant argues that Wadensten does not disclose an arrangement for employing an elastic coupling between the housing of an internal combustion engine and the driven device to protect the driven device by damping unavoidable vibrations transmitted from the engine housing to the housing of the driven device. Wadensten discloses in figure 1 an arrangement for employing an elastic coupling (190) between the housing of an internal combustion engine (210) and the driven device (220). However the recitation of intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. See In re Casey, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and In re Otto, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).